



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/433,609

11/02/99

BARNARD

EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG A	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2654

8

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Angela Armstrong

(2) Richmond Dorvil

(3) Peter Dichiaro, Reg No 38,005

(4) Michael Phillips

Date of Interview 1/15/2002

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 12, 14, 16

Identification of prior art discussed: Nguyen et al (6,272,462)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicants representative and the Examiners agreed that new claim language was needed to distinguish the current claims from Nguyen. The new claim language would provide more distinction to unsupervised adaptation, speaker independence, and identification of the phonemes used and how the phonemes are used in the discriminative training.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Richmond Dorvil

Richmond Dorvil
Primary Examiner